

THE U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



RETIRED *Pacific Region*

Check out the Fish & Wildlife Service Retirees Website: www.nctc.fws.gov/history/heritagecommittee.html

ORAL HISTORY

of

David B. Marshall

Portland, Oregon

November 30, 2000

INTERVIEW WITH DAVID B. MARSHALL

BY JERRY C. GROVER

and Judy M. Grover

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Retired
PORTLAND, OREGON

MR. GROVER: I am with David B. Marshall, a long time employee of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Can you give us a little of your background and history? When were you born?

MR. MARSHALL: I was born on March 7, 1926 in Portland, Oregon into a pioneer Oregon family, at least on my father's side and to some extent on my mother's side. I had an early interest in birds; no doubt through my family. My family was composed of a number of naturalists including my great grandmother who was a close friend of William L. Finley, the famous Oregon conservationist, wildlife photographer, writer and naturalist. So I developed an interest in birds. Some of my earliest memories are identifying birds in the backyard at the feeder. I learned their names from my mother and father. My father and his family were very active in what was then called the Oregon Audubon Society, now Audubon Society of Portland. As a result of exposure to activities and members of this group, I had further exposure to things in the natural history world. My parents were particularly interested in wildflowers. But that didn't interest me at the time. It was just birds.

MR. GROVER: What did your father do?

MR. MARSHALL: My father was a Civil Engineer and a Surveyor. He and his brother had an engineering firm here in Portland and did a good part of the lot survey work and subdivision work in Portland, starting in the teens up until the mid 1950s. Also they conducted engineering work during

World War II designing the docks at the shipyards and laying out housing projects. During the depression my dad was County Surveyor for a short time when business slacked off. This was an elected position. I also had the opportunity to get in the out of doors on numerous family outings and camping trips. Camping wasn't popular then, but we did a lot of it over various parts of the state.

MR. GROVER: That would have been in the 1930s?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, the 1930s and early 1940s. We really got around, particularly in southeast Oregon including Steens Mountain. Then it was tough getting around. Many a time we were delayed for a day or two because storm flooded the roads. Those family trips meant a lot. Then there was the exposure to things like the Audubon Society lectures, which were weekly. My dad was the chairman of the programs.

MR. GROVER: Was this the Oregon Audubon Society?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, it was then called the Oregon Audubon Society. It was the only one in the state. There were the Christmas bird counts, which were important to me. Audubon activities brought me into contact with William L. Finley and Stanley G. Jewett and a number of other famous people like Ed Averill. So I had this contact as a boy, particularly with Finley and Jewett, which meant a lot to me. I was impressed with the things they were doing from a conservation standpoint. I would overhear them talking over conservation problems and their strategies and what they should do about various issues. Finley and his wife, Irene, came to some family Christmas celebrations. I got to listen to Finley's lectures. One that I can most vividly remember was on the California condor. That inspired me in terms of the need to protect endangered species. Another event that got me interested in endangered species was this; in 1937 we were at

Borax Lake east of Steens Mountain, OR. We looked down at the fish in the lake and my father told me, "That fish does not have a name, and this is the only place that it is found in the world". Somehow, that really got to me. I picked up a lot of interest then. This fish didn't have a name and this was the only place in the world where it was found. The only way my father knew about that was through his association with Carl Hubbs.

MR. GROVER: Was that Carl Hubbs of Hubbs and Lagler fame?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes! Hubbs had told him about it. He told him that the fish hadn't been described at that point. Incidentally, the fish did not get described until the late 1960s or early 1970s and is now referred to as the Borax Lake chub. I made sure it got listed under the ESA when I was with that program. So, I had an association with natural history in boyhood. Then I met two boys, Tom McAllister and William Telfer, who were about my age. We became close friends. I had a bicycle and saw to it that they got bicycles and we bicycled all over the Portland area on bird watching trips. And we contributed to work that was done to document birds of the Portland area, what seasons they were there and where. We contributed a lot to that. Our adventures just came out in print in *Wild in the City* by Houck and Cody published by Oregon Historical Society Press. In it is a story titled "Home Town" which Tom McAllister and I wrote. The story was also published in the *Oregon Historical Quarterly* in the Fall 2000 issue. That tells a little bit about our adventures. We rode our bikes to Mount Hood. At one point we even bicycled to Olallie Lake, OR. This was in the early 1940s. We also bicycled out towards Maupin about 100 miles from home to see birds of eastern Oregon. These were bicycle/camping trips. At that time you could bicycle from here to Government Camp and you'd have to get off of the road maybe every fifteen or twenty minutes to let a car by; nothing like the traffic of today. The pavement wasn't really wide enough to accommodate a car and a bicycle too. These experiences gave us a lot of self confidence and independence.

MR. GROVER: Did you have any jobs at this time? I know it takes a little money to go out to Olallie Lake. Did you ever work for pay?

MR. MARSHALL: No, I didn't until I was 16. Well, I had a family allowance if I cut the lawn and did little chores like that. But I remember that to get my bicycle, I had to pay half of it. If I could save up enough money for half of it, my father would pay for the other half. These bicycle trips added a lot to my life. In 1939, when I was 13 years old, Oregon Audubon conducted a week's auto trip to Malheur Refuge. My dad's brother, C. L. Marshall, set up the logistics for it. But the real leader from a technical standpoint was Stanley G. Jewett, who was called the Regional Biologist for what was then the U.S. Biological Survey. Jewett was co-author with Ira Gabrielson of *Birds of Oregon*, which was published in 1940. Jewett took quite an interest in me as a boy. He would go over our bird notes from our various trips. We would call him with questions about birds. We didn't really have good field guides then. He would usually say, "You come down to my office." We'd do down

there and we'd discuss things that we had seen. But the trip to Malheur really told me that I wanted to be like Jewett, a wildlife biologist with the Fish and Wildlife Service. I was kind of headed that way. He advised Tom McAllister and me to get summer jobs outdoors just as soon as we could even if it was building trails; this would help lead to careers. When we were still in our last year of high school the Forest Service recruited youth for fire control and work crews as well as forest fire lookouts. Physically fit older young men were in the military. This was in 1943. Tom McAllister and I both applied and we got put on the Fremont National Forest. About July 1, at the beginning of the fire season, both of us were put on fire lookout stations on peaks. We were seventeen years old. At that point there were no tourists, and no pleasure travel because of the war. There was gas rationing. We were put up there alone with no contact with the outside world except by telephone connected to the nearest ranger station. Tom was put on Hager Mountain near Silver Lake and I was on one called Colman Point near Bly. We kept bird notes of course and that led to an article in *The Auk*, the journal of the American Ornithologists' Union, titled "*Summer Birds of the Fremont National Forest, Oregon.*" We thus published in a professional journal before we'd ever gone to college. Jewett encouraged us to do this and went over it before we sent it in. He said that it was fine. It was published in the April 1945 edition.

In 1944, after high school graduation, the war wasn't over. I went into the army air forces. I became an aerial gunner on a B-17. My position was as the "belly" gunner otherwise known as the ball turret. I flew four combat missions over Germany and the war in Europe ended. Then in 1946, I was out of the military and entered Oregon State College where I majored in what was then called Fish and Game Management. Tom McAllister did likewise. We were right away pegged as being 'different' because the class, which was all war veterans but one, and all men of course, enrolled in the major because of an interest in hunting and fishing. We were the first post-war class at Oregon State in Fish and Game Management. Tom and I picked Oregon State because it was one of only two or three schools that offered a major in that field on the west coast. It was a really good curriculum. We turned out to be well prepared for professional positions, even though not one of our major instructors had a Ph.D. They really worked hard on a curriculum that would fit what was needed for us. Some of the classes such as ornithology and mammalogy, I see most people taking now as graduate students. The agencies at that point badly needed trained people. It was a case of just getting them out just as fast as they could. We really weren't encouraged to go to graduate school at all.

The summer after I got out of the military, I worked again for the Forest Service on the Fremont National Forest as a fire lookout. The summer between my freshman and sophomore year at OSU I did likewise. Between my sophomore and junior year, I worked for the National Park Service at Crater Lake National Park. I wanted to vary my experience, but I was simply one of those rangers at the gate who pulled in the fees. I wanted to be a ranger/naturalist, but they wouldn't hear of it. They said I didn't have enough college yet. But I ended up on the side helping Don Farner, who wrote *The Birds of Crater*

Lake National Park. I wanted to get into the FWS and saw that I had better head that way. So in about January of 1949 I went to see Stan Jewett and asked him, "How do I get in to the FWS"? It was the usual answer, "You come down to my office". That was when I was junior at Oregon State. He told me I should apply for a Student Assistant position and introduced me to Kenneth F. MacDonald (known as "Mac"), the regional refuge supervisor (The Portland Regional Office at that time had the states of WA, OR, CA, ID, NV and MT assigned to it). They had Student Assistant positions at the Tule Lake and Malheur Refuges and were going to establish one on the Stillwater Wildlife Management Area. So I applied and nothing happened. So I called Jewett again and said, "What do I do?" He said, "You come down to my office." I went down there and he marched me back to see Kenneth McDonald again. Jewett, I found out, wasn't too well liked by some of his peers because of his bluntness. He said, "Mac, do you have this boy a job or don't you have a job for him?" Mac kind of chewed away on his cigar, and grumbled like he did. Finally he said he did have a job for me. Then Jewett, who was not with refuges but served as the flyway biologist at that point, told Mac that he wanted him to put me at Stillwater. Of course, that didn't set to good with Mac either.

MR. GROVER: Who was Mac again?

MR. MARSHALL: Mac was the Refuge Supervisor in Portland. He was a Scotsman who had no biological training whatsoever. He came to the service from Montana where he had been in charge of state hatcheries, but he had no formal training in fish and wildlife. But in many ways he was a good administrator. Anyway, this was all kind of innocent on my part. It was all because of who I knew that I got into the FWS. There was no good formal review of applicants or advertising. I don't think it was proper, but that's what happened. I wanted to know how I could get in, and Jewett told me to come down to his office! He was determined that I go to Stillwater. He said it was a new area. He told Mac that we had virtually no information on it - no real idea of what the bird or plant life there. Jewett told Mac, "This is the man who can do it!" This probably happened in April. In June upon termination of spring term, I drove to Fallon, Nevada, the headquarters of the Stillwater Wildlife Management Area, and met Tom Horn, the Refuge Manager, at his home on an afternoon in early June of 1949. He had arrived on the site with his family several weeks previously. I believe there might have been one maintenance man. I drove down there in a surplus World War II jeep that I had. Tom Horn must have taken a liking to me because I just talked to him for an hour or two, and he said, "Well, do you see that jeep over there?" It was a new jeep pickup truck. He said, "That's yours for the summer. I want you to inventory everything that's here. All the bird and mammal life, plants and so forth."

I became very fond of Tom's family. In fact, I wasn't there but for an hour or two when he sent me with his daughter, Nancy, who was about eight and knew the way to the refuge. She guided me out there and showed me a piece of it and we came back to town. The summer turned into a great experience

because I was given a free hand and wrote a report on the area at the end of the summer. I still have a copy of that report.

During the course of the summer, J. Clark Salyer showed up with Mac. Salyer was national Chief of the Wildlife Refuge System. He was an extremely colorful and competent character. Salyer came to determine what part of this refuge was going to left open to public hunting. It was a 205,000-acre area of which we had jurisdiction over about 155,000 acres through an agreement with the Nevada Fish and Game Commission, the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District and the FWS. Most of it was to become open to public hunting. Salyer came to talk to the local people about what part of the area would be open to public hunting and what wouldn't. This is a really interesting piece of history. He and Mac went on a tour of the refuge with Tom Horn. They borrowed my jeep pickup that day. It was the only vehicle with 4-wheel drive, which was essential. There were almost no roads. Mac was a very fastidious man who didn't like a bit of dust or dirt. In the front of the pickup there was just room for Tom Horn and Salyer. I didn't get to go because there wasn't enough room. But Mac had to sit on a box in the back of the pickup in all of the dust. Of course, Salyer outranked him and Tom Horn had to drive! Besides, Salyer delighted in teasing Mac about his not wanting to get dirty. They had a meeting that night with the local sportsmen. Salyer drew a line across the map. He said, "Okay boys, which side do you want?" This was in reference to which side of the line they wanted for hunting and which side did they wanted to be closed to hunting. They were furious because they had been sold on the idea that they could break it all up into little units and have a little piece here and a little piece there for refuge and so forth. That didn't go over at all. But the map they had of the refuge didn't have half of the wetlands delineated at the north end of the area. There was no good map. I discovered all kinds of marvelous habitat that wasn't on the map at all. In fact the map showed about a third of the wetlands on the area. The local sportsmen could see that. When Salyer drew that line which looked like an even split to him, they naturally picked the good half, which had all of these marvelous wetlands for waterfowl habitat that were not on the map.

MR. GROVER: They wanted this part as their hunting area?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, it was to be the hunting area. So they came out way, way ahead in terms of the hunting area. That was an interesting experience in how things came about at that time. But that's also how I got to come into the service on a permanent basis. Before that meeting, and after Salyer's tour of the area, I walked into the office. It was around 5:30 in the evening. I came to town for some reason, probably to pick up the jeep truck. Tom Horn was in the office along with Salyer. Salyer was standing there in his under shorts. He was changing his clothes for the meeting. Tom said, "Dave, meet J. Clark Salyer"! Well I shook hands with Salyer standing there in his under shorts! Then, Salyer said...he had kind of a funny way of talking: "How about coming to work for us permanently?" What brought that on I have no idea. Tom insisted he did not say anything about me. But I still wonder! But that's what he said. I told him, "Yes, I'd like to". He then said, "Okay, do you have a girlfriend?" I told him that I did and we were planning

on getting married at the end of the summer. Salyer told me to get Tom to give me three days of leave to go up to Oregon, get my girlfriend, marry her and bring her back here. He said, "I want to see what she thinks of this place". At that time I later learned, they were very concerned that employees be married because they were in isolated places and unmarried men didn't seem to stay in one place or work long hours, as was customary then. So in August, I did go home for several days. Betty and I got married and we drove back down there. We stayed at the Canvasback Gun Club where I was housed. That took care of that necessary requirement I guess, in Salyer's eyes. But like I said, I guess he really did want to see what Betty thought of the place because Fallon, Nevada was a pretty isolated area for a lot of women, I can assure you. So I was back at Stillwater as an Assistant Refuge Manager beginning in March of 1950 after I completed the necessary requirements for my B.S. degree from Oregon State College.

MR. GROVER: So Dave, this was in a permanent position?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, this was my first permanent assignment.

MR. GROVER: So you arrived back there with a wife and....

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, and a pregnant wife at that, by then! But as soon as I had enough credits at Oregon State, which was in March, I just left. The last courses in the last term didn't look too interesting to me. One of them was in big game management and I wasn't too interested in that particularly. So we moved down there in March. The paper said I was Assistant Refuge Manager, GS-5. But there was also a biologist assigned there at that time. Tom Horn was not too crazy about him and he wanted me to be the biologist, so he put the fellow that was the biologist who had quite a bit of experience, on administrative duties and I was really the biologist. I felt bad about that. He was LeRoy Giles. He was really a very competent guy. We got along great despite what Tom did.

I'd like to back up to one point.

MR. MARSHALL: MacDonald was Supervisor of Refuges in Oregon, Washington, California, Nevada, Idaho, and Montana. And he had one Assistant who was good at administrative work. His name was Wilfred Anderson. He went from being a clerk at Malheur to being an assistant to MacDonald. The Regional office staff was two people, plus secretarial help. That's all there was. And MacDonald was supervisor then for the Refuge Managers in those various states. You can see how many people he had to supervise. You can also see what freedom they must have had because Mac couldn't watch over them that closely.

MR. GROVER: Do you recollect how many refuges there were, staffed refuges, in this area?

MR. MARSHALL: Well, I would judge about 30 that were manned plus satellites.

MR. GROVER: How were they typically manned?

MR. MARSHALL: Typically, they were manned by a Refuge Manager. On the big ones, also by an Assistant Refuge Manager and several maintenance men and a clerk. That was the typical staffing. Some of them only had one man on them. Some of them had two. The big ones like Malheur would have maybe ten. They were mostly maintenance people.

MR. GROVER: Okay, back to Stillwater. Here you are with a pregnant wife back at Stillwater. What was your first assignment there as a permanent employee, living the good life as a GS-5?

MR. MARSHALL: Yeah, my salary was \$3,200.00 a year! That was gross! But my rent was only \$40.00 a month. One of the things they wanted me to do was to work up a grazing plan for the refuge. The understanding was that with the FWS taking this over we would regulate the grazing and the money from the grazing would go to the Truckee Carson Irrigation District. As it was, there were a dozen or so cattle operators who were getting free grazing on 155,000 acres. They weren't paying a dime for it and of course they were pretty bitter that suddenly they were going to have to be charged for their grazing. In fact, the Pelican Island area of the refuge had about a hundred horses on it, loose. Nobody claimed them of course until they were impounded. We had a real PR problem. I remember one of my interesting experiences was going out towards the Pelican Island area on a very sandy road, which required everything my truck had. The sand had clay put on it that was just wide enough for a vehicle to get over the sand dunes. I got about half way down this a one-way road and met a rancher named Francis Erb coming the other way. I was blocked from going anywhere. I had to spend about two hours there with him being lectured on the ills of the government and how terrible the government was and how we had no right to come in there and charge him grazing fees. So I began to get the flavor of the ranchers at that point, as a very young person. Eventually we did get a grazing plan but it took a long, long time to bring those people around to the idea that they would have to pay, get their cattle counted and so forth.

I continued with waterfowl inventory work on the weekly censuses we were required to do then. Then, we started making periodic trips to Anaho Island Refuge in Pyramid Lake to inventory the pelican, tern and gull colonies there. I remember my first trip out there; LeRoy Giles went along. I was very nervous. We had to take off from Sutcliff to go to the island. Pyramid Lake is very subject to high winds suddenly coming up and creating high waves. We had a twelve-foot aluminum boat with a ten-horse power motor. There was no spare motor. I never felt very comfortable about it, if the motor went out or the wind came up. I eventually found I could launch the boat from a point on the mainland that was much closer to the island. Anaho trips constituted great experiences. I did a lot of bird photography out there. Giles and I ended up writing an article on the birds of Anaho Island which was published in the *Auk*, the journal of the American Ornithologists' Union.

The engineering people were very strong and had a lot of influence at that time - more influence than biologists. They wanted to build extensive systems of dikes and water control

structures on refuges. Their big pitch was that they could make these impoundments permanent water so ducks could have water year round. What they did not understand, which I got to understand so well, was that permanent water areas were not good waterfowl habitat. It was seasonal water areas that were. I had a very difficult time putting this across. It was so obvious at Stillwater. Food plants grew in areas that were subject to periodic drying. That was a reality... I was not popular for my position on that at all! Mac and the Regional Director were very influenced by the engineers; and did not put much stake in a "youngster" like me. This was most unfortunate. It was a frustrating thing to me.

MR. GROVER: Were the engineers here in Portland, or were you using Reclamation engineers?

MR. MARSHALL: No, they were here in Portland. They would come to the refuges periodically and all they could think about was establishing permanent water. I'd write my reports that said, no, this wasn't going to work. Permanent water is the poorest waterfowl habitat. That was a big thing with me; trying to overcome that.

One of my most interesting assignments there was in the anthropology field. There were Paiute Indians around, and a Paiute Indian Reservation. An amateur archeologist/anthropologist named Margaret Wheat lived in the area. She realized that we had in the area an elderly Paiute Indian lady named Wuzzie George who had lived in the Stillwater marsh in her childhood under primitive, original conditions. Her father and her parents wanted nothing to do with white people and they lived out there under pre-Euro-American settlement conditions. Wuzzie was a "gold mine" of information on how those people lived. I was brought onto this scene by Margaret Wheat. Wuzzie had a friend named Alice Steve who did live with white people as a little girl. Alice was important in that she convinced Wuzzie to tell us about how they lived. Wuzzie, through a number of interviews that were taped, eventually spilled out how she and her family lived and how they used the fisheries, wildlife and plants of the marsh. We made fieldtrips out there with her and went to her original home areas. It was real revelation to me and one of the most exciting things in my life because I realized that Wuzzie George knew far more about plants and animals of the area than I did. She was a remarkable woman and enjoyed watching birds. All of this was taped for the Nevada State Museum. It was summarized and published by the Univ. of Nevada Press under the title of *Survival Arts of the Primitive Paiutes* by Margaret M. Wheat. Part of her work was used later by anthropologists in the archeological surveys at Stillwater, which they called me back for because of my knowledge of Wuzzie George.

MR. GROVER: There was a book that was written a couple of years ago which ended up on the market that the Stillwater Refuge was a central part to. Did you have a part in developing that?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, you are thinking of Catherine S. Fowler's book titled *In the Shadow of Fox Peak*, published in 1992 by the Service. Before its publication, the refuge had me come down to discuss with Fowler my experiences with Wuzzie George. It is an excellent book which, incidentally, contains a number of my photographs. Other books resulting from this work included *People of the Marsh: A Cultural and Natural History of Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge* by Kendal Morris and Anan W. Raymond or *Who Were the Ancient People of Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge, Nevada?* by Raymond and Morris. Both were published by FWS. I did not contribute to the writing of these books, but some of the photographs in them were taken by me.

MR. MARSHALL: I was somewhat disappointed with Margaret M. Wheat's book. The publisher popularized it and took out all of the scientific names of the plants, which was too bad. One of my roles in this was to identify the plants the Paiutes used. They would give their name and use for a plant and I would give the Latin name so we'd be sure which plant we were taking about. They took that out of the book. As far as I know all of the tapes are in the Nevada State Museum. It was a marvelous experience for me because I just couldn't get over the way those people lived and the knowledge they had of natural history and even geology. It was just a great thing to learn about. That was one of my most interesting of my life's assignments.

Another thing that went on, which I think was a terrible mistake in some ways, was ...the local people were very interested in goose hunting. We had a population of Canada geese, which was only about twenty-five or so birds. Salyer said that he'd take care of that. He'd get some muskrats in there to build houses. The local muskrat subspecies was a bank dweller. They didn't build houses. LeRoy Giles transplanted approximately a thousand muskrats from the Tule Lake Refuge to Stillwater. I think those muskrats originally came from the Great Lakes. The Tule Lake muskrats reproduced like crazy and built large houses all over the place, using the dense stands of cattail and bulrush for material. And yes, we did build the goose population way up. And in so doing, I suspect we eliminated a subspecies of muskrat, which I assume is extinct. This was the burrowing muskrat, which had been native to central Nevada. Unless there is some isolated marsh in Nevada that has some, I don't know of it. The FWS eliminated a muskrat taxon at that point. This did get Ray Alcorn, a local mammalogist/ornitologist somewhat upset. That's something that has not been adequately documented or written up.

Backing up a little bit; I forgot to mention during my first summer at Stillwater I was detailed to Tule Lake for about 10 days. They had hundreds of thousands of ducks die there from botulism in 1949 on the adjoining Lower Klamath Refuge. I was detailed there with Leroy Giles to work on that botulism situation by picking sick ducks up and running them through a hospital. They were given an antitoxin and fresh water. The Refuge Manager at Tule Lake in 1949 was a man named Howard Sergeant. Howard pulled me off the botulism detail part of the time. I got to go around the Klamath Basin refuges

with him and observe. He seemed interested in teaching me all he could about management of this large refuge complex.

Going back to my permanent assignment...let's see, I mentioned the Indians. Oh yeah, I wanted to mention that at the best I can figure at this point, we had five refuge biologists in the field on the refuges in the Region at that time. I can tell you how many refuges there were if I look at the list and check those off. But there were only five field biologists on the refuges themselves that I can recall.

MR. GROVER: The definition of a field biologist was one with a college degree?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, and supposedly was doing biological work rather than building fences or something like that.

MR. GROVER: Were the Refuge Managers and Assistant Refuge Managers degreed people?

MR. MARSHALL: Generally not in the 1950s. I wanted to mention that. I think I've got it written down for a little later in my list of frustrations.

MR. GROVER: Okay, we can wait and get to it. Let's go on to your next career stage and transfer.

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, my transfer to Sacramento NWR. That was in November of 1953. At that time the State of California's Department of Fish and Game was very strong. They were unhappy because no part of the 10,000 acre Sacramento NWR was open to public hunting. They were really putting pressure on for the FWS to open 40% of that refuge to public hunting, which would have been a mistake because it would have concentrated the birds even more in closed areas. But J. Clark Salyer got the idea that maybe we could find Ross's geese there. Ross's geese at that point were considered very rare. If Ross's geese were wintering there, then there would be every reason not to open any of it to hunting. So they sent me to Sacramento. I was the first full-time biologist there. My first priority was of course to find Ross's geese on the Sacramento Refuge. I didn't find them there!

MR. GROVER: What grade were you then?

MR. MARSHALL: I had become a GS-7 after my first year of permanent employment at Stillwater. The Sacramento Refuge Manager had no college training and he was very sensitive about that. His name was Vernon Ekedahl. He was Montana farmer who became a maintenance man and later Manager of Bowdoin Refuge. He was a very competent farmer and manager. But he felt very inadequate, especially around me. I did convince him I was okay, I guess. I couldn't tell him what to do, but I could show him! I got along with Vernon fine, and he left me alone on the Ross's goose thing. That was way beyond him. I couldn't even get as much as a spotting scope out of him, which I desperately needed to try and tell Ross's geese from snow geese in the field. This went on for months before I finally got a spotting scope. Ray Erickson, Biologist for Malheur, helped with this project. We managed to get a couple

of Ross's geese that were winged by hunters. It was against regulations to shoot Ross's geese. But hunters couldn't tell them from snow geese. We put the crippled Ross's geese in a pen with crippled snow geese. In that way we figured out how to separate them at a distance. I didn't find any Ross geese on the refuge, but I'd go around to the picking plants. The duck hunters then would take their birds to processing plants that would pick them. I would go around to the picking plants and try and get an idea of how many Ross's geese there were as opposed to snow geese. Of course the picking plant operators first thought I was law enforcement and was going to arrest people for shooting Ross's geese. I managed to convince them that I wasn't interested in that. I would find Ross's geese at the picking plants but I wouldn't say anything. I started to get an idea of how many there were, and then I befriended a big duck club in the Butte Sink. They understood that I was okay. So I began to get an idea of the number of Ross geese that were taken. I didn't find many initially. Eventually, I got into the San Joaquin Valley and discovered that this is where most of them wintered. I managed to figure out how to inventory them there by air.

MR. GROVER: Was this on the Merced Refuge?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, Merced is in that general area; the grasslands. It was partly the way they flew, and partly the way they associated with cackling geese rather than other snow geese that I managed to inventory them. Gene Kridler, who replaced me, and I wrote all the Ross's geese stuff up. I wanted to put it in a scientific journal, but the Regional office grabbed a hold of the report and they didn't want it published. That made Gene and me kind of bitter.

MR. GROVER: Why didn't they want it published?

MR. MARSHALL: We were critical of some things that were going on, as for example the meaningless closure on Ross's geese hunting. I think that's part of the reason. Richard Griffith was the one. He said he'd straighten it out, but he never did.

MR. GROVER: He was RD at the time?

MR. MARSHALL: He was Assistant RD for Wildlife. Rice growing then was a big thing on those refuges. There were three federal refuges; Sacramento, Colusa and Sutter. The number of birds there was tremendous. There was also a State area, Gray Lodge. I wish we could put this on the tape but these pictures will give you an idea of the concentrations of waterfowl that were involved at that time, which were confined to very small areas that were closed to hunting. The reason we didn't want to see more areas opened to hunting was because they were just packed in there in poultry pen-like conditions. Rice was grown on the refuges by the FWS and Vernon Ekedahl was, like I said, a great farmer. The birds completely consumed the rice crops, but I was concerned that Vernon didn't want to recognize ducks as eating anything but rice. So I started a study by going around to hunters and offering to clean their ducks if I could have the crops and the gizzards. Eventually, I did get quite an assemblage showing that the ducks were eating a lot of things besides rice. Vernon would come by my desk and see the

contents of the gizzards and then he began to get the picture that it was important to grow some of the wild food plants too. Since then, the refuges have quit growing rice, and there is more refuge area now. When we did have the ducks concentrated like that you had to have as big a volume of feed as you could produce, and at that point in time it involved rice.

MR. GROVER: Where they using the statistic that about seventy percent of the Pacific Flyway birds funneled through the Sacramento Refuge?

MR. MARSHALL: The Sacramento Valley, but not all were there at the same time; many went on to the San Joaquin Valley.

MR. GROVER: The Sacramento Valley had a sizable portion of them. That was a hell of a lot of birds!

MR. MARSHALL: That's right! It was seventy percent of ten million or more birds! I know for a while there we were completely underestimating how many birds there were. I did surveys mostly by air with Ray Glahn, a pilot biologist with the Service. He had a FWS plane at that time, a Piper Super Cub. I found I was grossly underestimating waterfowl numbers. I discovered this by taking pictures with my own camera, and then sample counting the ducks and geese on the pictures. California Fish and Game had at that point a two-engine Beachcraft aircraft with a big mounted surplus aerial camera in the plane's belly. They started sampling by air during the winter inventory and we really got a much better handle on what the populations were.

MR. GROVER: Was your relationship with the State at that time good? Were they sharing the pictures with you?

MR. MARSHALL: Our relationship in the biological field was good. Our relationship at the administrative level was terrible. It was frightfully bad. And that brings up a real good point in that there was tremendous rivalry between the state and the feds then, which was most unfortunate. Salyer was a part of that. MacDonald was a part of that. It was things like; if you're looking at an area for a new refuge, well, don't tell the state, they might want it too. It was terrible and it irritated me because there were so many things to be done that we could work together on. John Chattin who was the flyway biologist had a good relationship with California; he worked for them at one time. In the biological field we had no problems and assisted each other. But at the administrative level it was really bad. And it wasn't just California and Nevada, it was with other states. It was uncalled for, and I blame a lot of our own people for that.

Another aspect of this thing was refuge visitors. People like Ekedahl just didn't like the public coming on the refuge. He discouraged any kind of public use. A number of Refuge Managers were like that. They thought that people would disturb the birds and we should just keep them out. I was against that policy and wanted to bring people in. There were groups like the Audubon Society and the Sierra Club that wanted to tour and observe birds on the refuge. The answer from Ekedahl was a reluctant, 'well, yeah, if you go along, you

can take them out there.' I did some of that. Then we had a meeting in Portland with all of the managers and biologists. J. Clark Salyer was there. Salyer got up and made a speech about that 'you've got to open these areas to the public and let them know what's there. It's the only way we're going to have public support'. Of course that was a great welcoming bit of words for me. I was very happy over that.

MR. GROVER: You were separating the public visitor from the hunter visitor?

MR. MARSHALL: The hunter visitor was okay on a hunting area. But I'm talking about public visitors or hunters coming in to see the birds in areas closed to hunting. Setting up a tour route on a refuge just wasn't done then. I wanted to, but most of the old time managers were against it. Salyer helped change that policy. I felt that the policy on refuge visitors needed to change badly and it did get changed. Now in visiting the Sacramento Refuge I take great pleasure in seeing a tour route and visitor center.

Ekedahl would turn most important visitors over to me to handle. This included Sir Peter Scott, Jean Delacour and Lady Scott. Peter Scott and Delacour were two world known waterfowl specialists, and Scott was also an artist. They came to see Ross' geese. I took them on a tour of the Grasslands in the San Joaquin Valley, corresponded with Scott and wrote a paper on the Pacific Flyway for the Wildfowl Trust's publication.

I never felt like I finished my work at Sacramento before the transfer to Malheur came up, which I didn't want to do. But Ray Erickson was the biologist at Malheur. This was in 1955. He was being transferred to the Washington Office. He was bypassing the Regional Office and going straight to Washington. He obviously picked me as his successor for Malheur. John Scharff had a lot of faith in Ray. And Ray said that I was the one. So I got transferred to Malheur.

MR. GROVER: Was this with a promotion?

MR. MARSHALL: I can't remember. I knew you were going to ask me that! I can't remember if I got up to a GS-9 at that point or not. I think I did maybe get to be a GS-9. I know that I left Malheur as a GS-11.

MRS. GROVER: How big was your family by then?

MR. MARSHALL: We had two kids. Both children were born in the hospital at Fallon, NV. They were fourteen months apart. We had a boy and a girl.

Upon my transfer to Malheur one of the first things that came up was a movement by a number of the merchants in the town of Burns to try to get the Blitzen Valley portion of the refuge turned back to private ownership. They threatened a bill in Congress, and the local politicians were behind this. They were determined that the Blitzen Valley would be much more valuable by being turned back into private ranches. They had a lot of power and support in Congress. I don't think people

today realize how threatened some of these refuges were at that point. There wasn't the public support for them. Ray Erickson had started a film on the refuge. And not being a bad photographer myself, I worked some further on that film. But then to try to put out a film on the refuge, strictly by amateurs in this case, and try to explain the value of the refuge and what we did was difficult. The camera equipment was also inadequate. Fortunately, the issue died. That was one of my first jobs there.

Also at that point, Malheur Lake was down to 10,000 acres because of a drought. We had a terrible problem with introduced carp in the lake. The idea was to completely eliminate carp from the Malheur Basin. It was a tremendously big effort with an ex-Navy torpedo bomber being hired to spray rotenone over the lake. All of the streams that lead to the lake were rotenoned with drip stations. But about two hundred carp could still be seen in the lake after it cleared up by the fall. They were the survivors which made the operation only temporarily successful. It didn't matter what we did. We could spray and spray and those carp remained alive. Of course it had gotten cold by that time and the rotenone was not as effective. I don't know if they had their nose stuck in a spring or what, but I suspect that. So the carp project was highly successful to start with. Then in the end it was a failure. You might have some thoughts on that. But it was highly touted amongst the fishery people what a great job they had done. And they showed pictures of it at public gatherings. They had a fisheries biologist/ photographer on the spot. But John Scharff, the Refuge Manager, said, "You take pictures too"! Fortunately, I did. I still see those photos being used. It did work for several years and we had a tremendous return of sago pondweed, the important duck food plant, to Malheur Lake once the water came back and most of the carp were gone. A couple of years later we had a real influx of terns and grebes which fed on carp reproduction.

Malheur was another big experience for me. I loved the refuge, but working with John Scharff was a challenge. Malheur was a real one-man operation in the sense of 'who was boss.' And one thing he would do with every new staff member was to take him into his office and inform him that nobody was going to tell him how to run the Malheur Refuge either from below or from above. He would say, "If they don't like it, they can fire me"! But I had tremendous respect for John. He was the most skilled administrator I ever worked for. He had been at the refuge...I first met him there as a boy in 1939! He stayed there until he retired at 70 in 1971.

Scharff was in many ways great to work for, but on the other hand, you couldn't recommend to him anything. You had to kind of sit back and demonstrate things. He was frustrating to me because he firmly believed in managing Malheur for multiple uses like it was a national forest or BLM district, including lots of grazing and haying. That was his thing. That was his experience in the Forest Service. He was successful in part because in part of the people he knew in Washington, D.C. I can remember seeing him write a letter by hand one day that started out with, "Dear Rufus". He was writing to Rufus Holman who was the Congressman for that area. And Chief Justice Douglas of the Supreme Court would periodically show

up at the refuge without publicity, and was a guest at the Scharff house. So were other Congressmen. The Regional office couldn't touch him! They could come out and make inspections and say, 'Do this, and do that and do the other thing.' He'd say, "Oh yes, yes". But he'd never do them unless he wanted to.

The other thing he'd do when Washington office people came out was to take them on a tour. Instead of going on the roads he'd drive across the fields and meadows and through this gate and that gate and around this circle and that circle and pretty soon they had no idea where they were. He was very clever. They would see a lot of wildlife and come back and say what a great refuge Malheur is before departing. But seeing the money and improvements going to projects like cattle watering troughs was very frustrating to me. But now when I look back on it, here was a refuge that could have been lost; but for Scharff placating the cattle industry. I don't know where we'd otherwise be today. I think he was the right man in the right place at the right time. Salyer sort of summed it up one day when, upon arrival, he said, "well Scharff, you still running a cattle ranch."

I also need to credit John Scharff's wife, Florence. She was a great host. House guests were common place. Florence along with John were gardeners. Refuge headquarters was a show-place of flowers in the summer.

MR. GROVER: Was Scharff a biologist too?

MR. MARHSALL: No. He had a two-year degree in animal husbandry from Oregon Agricultural College, which is now Oregon State University. I do not mean to infer he did not know wildlife. He did, and liked to play a game with me on which one of us saw the first spring arrivals of various summer resident birds.

MR. GROVER: They now have the bird festival out there in the spring and it's the John Scharff Festival.

MR. MARSHALL: Yep, it's the John Scharff Waterfowl Festival.

Well, the refuge is still there, and it's not private land. Yes, and in later years I began to appreciate Scharff more. When he neared retirement I wrote a nomination for him to receive Interior's Distinguished Service Award. This was against the advice of his regional office supervisor; but I did it anyway and Scharff went back to Washington to receive the award in 1971. He had the longest tenure of any refuge manager in the National Wildlife Refuge System. His government service began with the U.S. Forest Service in 1923.

MR. MARSHALL: I didn't see the political side of it at all when I was there. I was no politician and it was frustrating to me when I could see that things could be done a lot better from the wildlife perspective. Going back and looking at it now, I saw the pendulum swing completely the other way with great expanses of solid cattail and bulrushes, which in part the livestock controlled. The pendulum swung too much the other way after he left. So Scharff was a pro and a con as far as

working with him. I did a lot of photography while I was there which he was very interested in having me do along with the wildlife inventory work. He was afraid of the water. He wouldn't go out on Malheur Lake in the boat. The airboat on the lake was really my domain. He had some kind of fear of the water.

During my time at Malheur, public use of the refuge expanded; yet there were no public use specialists. During the spring and that thatearly summer months we were deluged with birders, naturalists and photographers. Scharff liked to see influential people personally conducted over the refuge – quite the opposite of my experience at Sacramento. Scharff did a lot of that himself, but much of it fell on me. Each weekend from March through June, we hosted classes from colleges and universities throughout the northwest. They stayed in the old CCC mess hall, since torn down. I would conduct the classes on a tour of the refuge on Saturdays and give them a slide presentation on Friday or Saturday nights. There were no weekends off during this period. I wanted to set up a tour route with numbered stations that referred to a brochure, but Scharff would not have any part of that. The public was free to roam the refuge at will, although we often mapped out routes for them.

Eleanor Pruitt, the co-manager of the Frenchglen Hotel saw a demand for an ornithology course for the local people. She arranged for this through the state extension service. I conducted the course which was for college credit and well attended by some Harney County citizens, including school teachers.

Another thing that came up at that point was that the local people and the Corps of Engineers wanted to put a dam on the Upper Silvies River. They wanted to dam the water behind the Silvies River so in short water years, they'd have a more dependable supply. Malheur Lake's watershed alternates between series of high and low water years. There was a lot of push for this dam. Our division known at that time as River Basin Studies came into the picture. They had me document the heavy waterfowl use in the spring in the lower Silvies River valley in the Burns area. We really showed its value to the spring migration for waterfowl. I feel like that was quite an accomplishment. The dam never got built. I was at Malheur for five years. It was difficult from a family standpoint, very difficult. I wanted to be transferred to the Regional Office and I got that, finally.

MR. GROVER: Are you talking about being transferred to the Portland office?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes. It was real important for the sake of my family, and I want to tell you why. The one room school was inadequate and it was difficult to hire a qualified teacher. Refuge housing was inadequate. Scharff wanted his biologist to live on the refuge to be available when needed and to assist with the visitor load. Even if we had moved to Burns, the road between the refuge and Burns was unpaved and sometimes in winter nearly impassable. The house had only two small bedrooms and our boy and girl had grown old enough to need separate rooms.

By the time I had moved to the Regional office, the refuge staff there had grown somewhat. It was still Kenneth McDonald as Refuge Supervisor plus several assistants who each took a couple of states.

MR. GROVER: Are we talking about a promotion then? What year was this?

MR. MARSHALL: This was in 1960. I think I was a GS-11. There, I ran up against the same old problem of working with somebody who wasn't a biologist and really wasn't oriented in that way. I will just throw out one example that is here in my notes. We had on the Red Rocks Lake Refuge of Montana, graylings, which is a fish that there was some concern about. They spawned there. Ken (Mac) McDonald was such a guy for having everything just so neat and orderly and clean that he insisted on turning a stream that had the Grayling into a straight line ditch, because he wanted everything laid out in straight lines. Those were the kinds of frustrations I had. Of course, that was extremely detrimental to the grayling. He got into a big hassle with the state fisheries biologist over it, too. But it was very frustrating for me because at that time I really didn't feel like I was accomplishing a lot; others just didn't think like I did. At that time there was no public affairs officer in the regional office. A lot of the public questions having anything to do with wildlife continually got referred to me. Mac thought I should become a Public Affairs Officer. That didn't happen because Montana Senator Mike Mansfield wanted to fill the job with a man named Nick Mariana. Nick knew nothing about wildlife and was a disaster for that position. I kept having to answer many of his calls about wildlife.

I was in the Regional Office for probably two or three years and was detailed to the Washington Office on an assignment. Mac pulled me aside and said, "you know why you're going there?" I said I didn't. He said, "They want to look at you relative to a position there." I told him I was glad he tipped me off. The Washington Office was last place I wanted to go. I handled the detail okay. But right from the start I let it be known that I was not the least bit interested in a job in the Washington Office. This made my friend Ray Erickson very unhappy with me. He took me aside and he said, "Dave, you're selfish! You're just thinking about yourself!" It made me feel bad, but I just didn't want to go to the Washington Office. Besides, we just had built our first real home and inherited a cabin at Mt. Hood. My present wife says that I really missed a bet. I don't know if I did or not. This would have been in the early 1960's.

We got the loan on the Duck Stamp money and suddenly we had a lot of money to buy new refuge lands with. This was a very rewarding subject to me. We pulled in Leo Couch, who had retired after serving as Chief of Research. He helped select lands in the region for refuges. Leo and I worked together on this. I particularly knew the Willamette Valley. In fact, this subject came up while I was still at Malheur. A memo came out from the Washington Office asking for recommendations for new refuges that could be purchased with duck stamp funds. I nominated sites which now constitute the William L. Finley and Baskett Slough refuges in the Willamette Valley.

MR. GROVER: Was this part of your detail? Or was this after the detail?

MR. MARSHALL: I don't recall whether before or after.

MR. GROVER: So you're back in Portland now.

MR. MARSHALL: I was only on detail for a couple of weeks or three weeks. I can't even remember what it was for. It must have been not real important. So I was back and we had funds to purchase new refuges. Even before the funds became available, J. Clark Salyer came to the regional office. Unbeknown to any of us, as a result of my recommendation, he had looked over the Muddy Creek area which eventually got incorporated into the William L. Finley Refuge. He would travel the country and inspect areas and refuges by day and travel at night. He was afraid to fly. He went into the Failing Estate property at Muddy Creek one day, passing all of the private land and no trespassing signs, and looked that area over. He apparently got back out without being seen. When I saw him in Portland, he said something to the effect of, "Dave, that's a great area, buy it!" Mac was sitting there. And of course Mac thought the world of Salyer. Salyer's word was god, so that cinched the William L. Finley Refuge, at least the approval part for the Service.

But Mac said we had to go see the principal owner who was Henry Cabell. He was a grandson of Henry Failing, for which the Failing building in downtown was named. Cabell had the biggest key piece of area that would be part of the refuge. His grandfather started it as a hunting reserve, and it was still used for such. They had a house there that they used for hunting; he and his friends. So we went to see Henry Cabell. Cabell was very cordial and invited Mac and I into his office. We explained to him what we wanted. He said, "No". It had been in his family for years and he just couldn't give it up. I was very discouraged and walked back out with Mac. Mac said, "No, you just wait. I've seen this happen time and time again. We want a new area, so we'll go back and see him in another year or two. Maybe he'll change his mind."

Sure enough, we went back. He invited us in again and we sat down with him. This time we had the Realty Specialist with us. His name was Don Kistner. Henry Cabell said, "This land has been in my family for years and my children don't have any interest in it. I want to see it remain a wildlife area. I think you gentlemen have the only answer". Then he said, "I know how you people operate. I know you have a low price and a high price. I don't want either one. I want it to be right in the middle". He was a very public spirited man. We did our review of the area's value. He did his independently and they came out the same in terms of overall value. I will always remember that. There was no argument over price. After that we were able to acquire McFadden's Marsh and some other pieces.

There was a big public outcry against the acquisition of that refuge and a big public meeting at Corvallis. The farmers were all against it. The county agricultural extension agent led the opposition. They were concerned about loss of tax money and farm land in Benton County. That led to a bill in the State

Legislature which would make state approval for a refuge conditional upon county approval. That would have killed these refuges in the Willamette Valley.

MR. GROVER: That's the same strategy that was used in the Dakota wetlands, the prairie potholes. They had to have local approval.

MR. MARSHALL: It passed the Legislature. Mark Hatfield was then Governor and he vetoed it. He said he did not think it was good government for local government to have veto power over something that was favorable to the state as a whole. My wife at the time was quite active in working to defeat that on behalf of Portland Audubon. The Oregon Duck Hunters Association also participated. They did lobby Hatfield after loosing in the Legislature.

Three refuges eventually immersed in the Willamette Valley. I scoured the Valley for other potential refuge areas. They wanted to have five sites. That was part of the condition for State approval. I had also recommended Baskett Slough. I'd done a lot of work in college on the William L. Finley refuge area. At Baskett Slough I used to drive by and see the geese there. I recommended that and they wanted more areas so I scoured the valley. One of the candidates I came up with Ankeny. It was on the bottom of my list. But the engineering people, because of the water at Ankeny, and a ditch going through it; recommended Ankeny. So did the Realty people because they thought the land would be cheaper there. I really wanted to see a piece of land acquired along the Tualatin along with Wapato Lake. That was my first choice after Baskett Slough. It never came to pass. There wasn't any goose use at Ankeny, which was the main thrust for these areas. But I did see the potential and I thought there could be significant goose use. Maybe I was gambling but I felt with minimum wetland development geese would use that area and it's turned out to be very successful. So I am happy about all three of those areas.

But along comes the General Accounting Office just after the acquisition of these areas. And they said, "You've bought lands that are not wetlands with Duck Stamp money. The testimony before Congress by your Director was that you were going to spend this money on wetlands." We bought the Finley refuge, which had a lot of upland with it. It was a marvelous, good, varied type of habitat. And Baskett Slough had a butte on it. They wanted to know why we didn't exclude those. We explained that the landowners said they would to sell all or nothing. That was one of the questions we put to Henry Cabell too. "Would you sell just the wetlands parts?" He had quite a gleam in his eye and said, "I'll sell all or nothing"! This pleased me extremely. He was very public spirited and he saw the value of the William Finley Refuge as kind of an outdoor laboratory for students at Oregon State. I know that's a lot of what was behind it. Anyway, GAO came in and they had the big investigation. Of course, I was the first line of defense on this thing. I selected the areas and RD wasn't happy with me because of what I had done. Obviously he didn't want a GAO investigation. The irony of that is that the GAO head investigator was a former buckaroo from the Roaring Springs Ranch which had grazing/haying permits at Malheur. I knew

him there, after which he left the buckaroo business and went to college and into the GAO. He was one of those people who wanted the Blitzen Valley at Malheur to go back into private ownership. I can't remember his name. But the GAO had their investigation and there was a big headline on the *Oregonian* that FWS had misappropriated funds or something like that. Then, Ira Gabrielson showed up. He wanted to look at this situation. So Ray Glahn and I put him in our airplane, a Cessna 180 by that time.

MR. GROVER: What position was Ira Gabrielson by this time?

MR. MARSHALL: He was President, or President Emeritus of the Wildlife Management Institute. He had a lot of say, I can assure you. I learned that quickly, after it got to Washington. He didn't really retire! Anyway, he showed up at the regional office. We took him to the airport and strapped him into the Cessna. We had heavy duty agricultural type shoulder and seatbelts. He said he felt like we were putting him into a horse collar. He didn't have much time. We made an aerial tour of the refuges in the Willamette Valley. I complained about the GAO investigation. He kept saying, "To hell with 'em! To hell with 'em!" I could tell he was very pleased with the three areas. "To hell with 'em. Don't worry about it!" Then he returned to Washington. I never heard a thing more about this issue. Years later, I asked John Gottschalk about it. My close friend Fred Evenden and I used to go birding with John on Sunday mornings when I worked in Washington, D. C. I asked John whatever happened to the GAO investigation of the Willamette Valley refuges. He said that he was at a congressional hearing headed by Julia Butler Hansen, who chaired the Interior Appropriations Committee. She was from southern Washington. She brought up the GAO investigation. According to John Gottschalk, she said, "Mr. Gottschalk, what are you going to do about this?" John said, "I told her; absolutely nothing"! She looked kind of startled and said, "Well, I guess that's that"! I learned this years later. I don't know what role Gabe had in that thing but he obviously came out for a reason.

Another thing that happened: I'd periodically go to the Willapa Refuge with Ray Glahn. One day we flew down over the islands in the lower Columbia River area. They are marshy islands, which I didn't know were there until the flight. You couldn't see them from the ground. I wondered who these islands belonged to. Jim Shaw, who was the Realty Specialist, then looked into it and found out that they belonged to the county for back taxes. So Jim went to the County Commissioners. They said the islands were of no value to them and we could have them. That's how easy you could do things in those days! Yeah! So we acquired the Lewis and Clark Refuge just as simple as that! The only stipulation was that they remain open to hunting. We weren't buying them, so we weren't under this restriction to have part of it closed to hunting.

Another thing that happened was that Bob Twist, who was Manager at Willapa had flown the Oregon coast and taken a number of good photographs showing the seabird colonies there. Then, Ray Glahn and I started flying it. We saw that there was a lot more to the offshore bird rocks than the existing Three

Arch Rocks and Goat Island refuges. So we inventoried the sea birds on the offshore rocks and determined that they were still public lands under BLM. We went to BLM and asked if we could withdraw them. They said, "Fine, have at 'em!" There were no environmental impact statements; no public hearings and they were transferred to FWS.

This is what frustrates me today with what the people have to go through and put up with. That's how we added all the larger rocks to the Oregon Islands Refuge. There was nothing to that. I learned about Ledbetter Point, now a part of the Willapa Refuge; Ledbetter is an extension of the Long Beach peninsula. It constituted accreted land. Sand had built up in that area over the years so it was government, BLM land. From some of my Audubon friends in Seattle, Washington, I learned about this area and what a great area it was for brant and shore birds. So I thought that we ought to get that into the Refuge System. We ran into a roadblock, which was the State Parks Department. They had their eyes on it. The RD and I went up and saw the Parks Director. He had a grandiose plan to put a hotel on Ledbetter Point plus campgrounds, riding stables and all kinds of great things for public use. The Audubon Society in Seattle got wind of that. And the Portland Audubon Society did too. I led a joint field trip for the two Audubon groups to Ledbetter Point. By working together we managed to beat the state out on acquiring that area. But in so doing, I got virtually a reprimand from the Regional Director. He was very, very unhappy with me because he was going by a letter from the Secretary that said we ought to get along with our sister State agencies. He wanted to make things peaceful and let the state have the area, so they could do what they wanted to with it.

MR. GROVER: Who was the RD at that time?

MR. MARSHALL: It was John Findlay.

MR. MARSHALL: That's another story. There's a lot of good things about John Findlay. But he was very unhappy that I created this stir by encouraging the Audubon groups to work the political ropes to get that area into the Refuge System.

MR. GROVER: Were relations between the FWS and the State generally good at this time?

MR. MARSHALL: They were better by that time. When John Findlay and I went to see the State Parks Director, we also went to see John Biggs the Director of the Washington [State] Game Department. John Biggs said that he was with us, but he couldn't say anything [publicly].

MR. GROVER: Did you have good relations with Oregon too?

MR. MARSHALL: Fair, but it got better as time went on. So anyway, we got Ledbetter Point. I got into trouble and then a letter came from John Gottschalk complementing us on what we'd accomplished. He also said, in effect, that sometimes things work out fine when there is controversy. So I was, I felt, exonerated at that point. Nothing more was said. Ledbetter Point became part of the Willapa Refuge.

Alaska became part of the region at that point. I was sent to Alaska a number of different times, more so than anybody else in the Regional Office. It was kind of rough duty up there. There was a lot of camping and most of the people in the office didn't want to do that. Two of the trips were to look at the situation with Musk Ox in Alaska. That's a long story, I don't know if I want to go into it. But it ended up with Musk Ox being transplanted back to the wild in Alaska. It was very interesting to be on the Arctic Slope in the middle of winter. It was a great experience.

Hawaii was a forgotten place. We had nobody in Hawaii. Dick Griffith, in the 1960's, finally got a contract with Hawaii Fish and Game to oversee the Hawaiian Islands Refuge. It hadn't been visited by anybody in the FWS since 1923. So I was sent out to review the situation in about 1963. The military was out there tracking some of the first satellites and they had four of five men camped on various islands in the Refuge. The state was rightfully concerned because they were bringing in plant propagules such as seeds in their gear which could get started on the fragile islands. Examples included dandelions, potatoes, onions, and who knows what else. The state had no influence over the military so they said we should send somebody out to look at the situation. Any type of duty like this seemed to go to me, which made me happy. The Navy took us out to the refuge with a LST and helicopter aboard. The Navy was servicing the military people there too. I've got a lot of great pictures from that trip. With two state biologists, I inventoried the wildlife on a number of the islands. We camped out on Laysan Island for five days. It was quite an adventure. I came back and recommended that we put a FWS employee in Hawaii. We selected Gene Kridler, who succeeded me at Malheur. He went out there then as chief law enforcement officer, Refuge Manager and everything else. Kridler did a tremendous job starting FWS activities in Hawaii. Eventually more refuges in Hawaii came about.

One thing that I know my bosses did not always appreciate was my working with some of the conservation groups like the Audubon Society. It was okay if they thought I was doing the right thing, but sometimes they didn't appreciate it, like on the Willapa issue. As now, I worked a lot with Portland Audubon's board and conservation committee, which sought my biological expertise. In fact I was on their Board during all of this time in Portland. We got some things done by just going around the FWS. Some of my bosses were not too happy about that. I suppose some of that goes on now, I don't know, but it got things done. In 1972 I authored a small book published by the Audubon Society of Portland. It was titled *Familiar Birds of Northwest Forests, Fields and Gardens*, and came out in 1973. At least 20,000 copies of the book were sold.

In the early 1960s, Fred Evenden, Executive Director of The Wildlife Society, came to John McKeen, Director of the then Oregon Game Commission. Dean Marriage of the Soil Conservation Service and me were urging the formation of a state chapter of The Wildlife Society. It hinged on the state since they had by far the greatest number of members. John turned the idea down, saying the state legislature would not go for paying for meeting attendance. A few months later John

came up with the idea of classifying an annual state meeting of the society a training conference for his biologists and managers. A chapter was formed. John was the first President and I was the second. This state chapter is now one of the most active in the country. It helped bring state and federal biologists together. I subsequently served as President of the Northwest section of the Society.

During this period, the Forest Service proposed an interagency committee to designate research natural areas on federal lands in OR and WA. I was designated to represent FWS. We set up several such areas on national wildlife refuges in the region, including three at Finley Refuge. The National Park Service declined to participate.

In the fall of 1971, I was invited to substitute for one term for Stan Harris, a wildlife professor at Humboldt State College. Stan was going on sabbatical and it was the policy of the college to find someone who was active in our field to serve as a replacement. The fact that I did not have a graduate degree did not matter. I received permission to take leave from the FWS during the spring term of 1972 to teach at Humboldt. I taught a class in waterfowl management, conducted field trips for an ornithology class and handled a seminar. It turned out to be a very rewarding assignment.

I was of course in the regional office during the height of the Job Corps program. When the Malheur job corps station closed, Bob Russell, Ass't Refuge Supervisor in charge of Oregon and Washington refuges, was anxious to see it declared surplus so properties used by the station could be declared surplus and distributed as needed to other refuges. He also looked at the facility as administrative "headache" and should be demolished. I disputed that, having been well aware of the need for housing and eating facilities for the numerous school and conservation groups which visited Malheur. The lack of such facilities other than the old CCC mess hall was a problem while I was there. I gained support for my position from Eleanor Pruitt, former manager of the Frenchglen Hotel, who fed many university groups their evening meals at virtually no cost. Eleanor had since become librarian for Mt. Hood College. I went around my good friend Bob Russell to the regional administrative officer, Henry Beatkey. Henry was very education oriented and when he found we could not turn the facility over to a university by one manual, he looked in another which said it would be OK. Thus the Malheur Field Station was born. It was managed by a consortium of colleges and universities. But the station director appointed by the consortium was a disaster for Malheur. He was an extreme environmentalist who wrote *Scared Cows at the Public Trough*. Locally we still have not overcome the public relations problems he brought on. However, we can be proud of the station's role overall.

Also during this assignment, the Endangered Species Preservation Act of 1966 and the subsequent Endangered Species Conservation Act of 1969 were passed. John Aldrich, a Service employee at the U.S. National Museum and world famous ornithologist headed up the preparation of the endangered bird species lists for these Acts. When it came to western birds he relied in part on me so I had a part with the first

endangered species lists. What I am proud of is the fact that no bird got listed which should not have been, despite a fact we did not have all the information that would have been desirable

MR. MARSHALL: The next thing is my transfer to the Washington office. I was getting to feeling like dead wood in Refuges in the Regional Office. Apparently I wasn't that popular. I felt like the Regional Refuge Supervisor at that time pushed me aside. I was told later he was jealous of my knowledge of the region. So I had to do something. My children were long out of high school by then and I did finally agree to go to the Washington Office to work in the Office of Endangered Species, a topic, which very much interested me. I went to work under a man named Gene Ruhr whose boss was Keith Schreiner. I thought a lot of both of them and got along very well. I started out as being responsible for listing birds and mammals.

MR. GROVER: Had you been promoted again by this time?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, I got promoted to a GS-13. So I was in the Office of Endangered Species. It was officially called the Office of Endangered Species and Foreign Activities. There was about a half a dozen of us. The pitch then was that the Regional Offices weren't competent to handle endangered species. Endangered Species programs should be handled strictly by Washington, which I disagreed with very much, having come from a Regional Office. We were overwhelmed with work. The Endangered Species Act of 1973 was passed at the beginning of my assignment. I tell you, the workload was just way too much. All kinds of things didn't get done that should have been done. We just didn't have the necessary staffing.

MR. GROVER: Did you supervise staff?

MR. MARSHALL: No, Keith Schreiner was there to start with. Gene Ruhr was in charge of domestic stuff. Then there was a foreign activities chief. We had just about half a dozen professionals in the office – all very capable people.

MR. GROVER: You weren't divided organizationally with a branch of listing and a branch of recovery for example?

MR. MARSHALL: Well, yes in a sense. I was in charge of listing domestic birds and mammals at the Washington office to start with. A representative of the timber industry visited me about every week over the spotted owl. At this point I was in a quandary over the owl. I felt that if preventative measures were not taken it was going to have to be listed. Schreiner sent me out to talk to BLM and Forest Service people in Portland. I couldn't get those people to listen at all. It was just like, "You mean the spotted owl could stop the timber industry? To hell with you"! That was the attitude. The Forest Service and BLM biologists could see the light, but they couldn't get through to their bosses.

MR. GROVER: When was that Dave?

MR. MARSHALL: That would have been in 1974. I had this dilemma. I really felt that this owl should be listed. But it was a political decision partly. I knew that if we listed it, it would probably mean the end of the Endangered Species Act at that point. The timber industry was so strong. The other concern was that I was afraid they could beat it because we still really didn't have enough biological information on the owl to make a really ironclad case. So that disturbed me as much as anything. I knew we just didn't have the demographic information that we needed to make a really good case. We also did not have data on home range size. The spotted owl was an issue that I really lost sleep over. What was the right thing to do?

They reorganized the Office of Endangered Species and then I headed up the recovery operations. I became the staff officer responsible for recovery. Schreiner and Ruhr came up with the idea of recovery teams and recovery plans. So this meant writing up guidelines for both. I subsequently went around the country conducting workshops for federal, state and academic people on how to prepare Recovery Plans. By that time the office had grown with some very capable biologists.

MR. GROVER: Was there a focus at that time on a particular species?

MR. MARSHALL: Of course we had trouble with what we called the 'glamour species'. Everybody wanted to spend our money on bald eagles, wolves, the condor, the peregrine falcon and the like. That was a constant problem. Bald eagles and wolves in a way saved the Act as we got ridiculed for wanting to list non game fishes, amphibians and some plants like the furbish lousewort.

MRS. GROVER: And whooping cranes?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, whooping cranes were a big thing too. We called them all 'glamour species'. But wolves and the bald eagle "saved the day." The timber industry sponsored a bill in Congress to exclude subspecies. That way we'd have to drop the spotted owl because the listing of it would have been for only the northern subspecies. Then it was pointed out that the bald eagle, wolves and peregrine falcons at the time were listed as subspecies. For example, the gray wolf was listed only in the U.S. outside Alaska. I had frustrations with the Secretary's office. There was a guy in that office named Amos Eno. Have you ever heard of him?

MR. GROVER: Oh yes.

MR. MARSHALL: He worked for Nat Reed, Interior's Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks. He was Nat Reed's right hand wildlife guy. Nat Reed and Dillon Ripley, who was head of the Smithsonian, had lunch periodically. I always knew when they had lunch because I'd get a call from Amos. He'd say to put so and so, and so and so, on a recovery team. They were usually people we knew. Some of them were trouble makers and we knew they couldn't work with people. He would also say to get this or that species listed. I'd get all of these instructions from Amos. Then I'd go to Keith and ask him, "What am I going to do"? Keith would say,

“Just forget about it, it will die”! That’s exactly what would happen...the next thing you know Amos was on to something else. But it was kind of frustrating.

MRS. GROVER: When Amos was heading up the Fish & Wildlife Foundation, when it began, I didn’t have good feelings about the Foundation. I do now. But it took a long time to make it feel good. I just felt that they were against us rather than with us. They were supposed to be helping us.

MR. MARSHALL: I just didn’t get along with Amos. I was also disappointed with a decision by Assistant Secretary Nat Reed who reversed a decision by our office to list the California sea otter. I maintained the population was stable and we had higher priorities. When asked why he took this action, his response was the he promised Margaret Owings we would list it. Ms. Owings was a strong proponent for the California sea otter. I also opposed the listing of the grizzly bear, feeling that the states had it in hand.

When I went to the Washington Office, Keith Schreiner told me that if I couldn’t take the Washington Office, eventually he would try to get me back to Portland, assuming he still had a position to do so. That was part of the condition of my employment there. When I told him that I had had enough, he would see if he could get me a job back in Portland. Well after four or five years, I went to him and said that I had had enough. He said, “Okay”. And he contacted Kahler Martinson. Kahler by that time had become the Regional Director in Portland. He had been Assistant Director for Migratory Bird Management in Washington. I don’t know what went on there, but they said I could go back to be in charge of Endangered Species in Portland. I don’t know if the job was even advertised. I don’t know how it was arranged. But I had the Washington office experience that was required. Kahler wanted me, and Keith wanted to see me in that job and that’s what happened. The person who was handling it was Phil Lehenbauer. Phil was a good friend of mine. In fact, he was the first Refuge Manager for Finley NWR. I helped put him there. He worked under me as a student trainee at Malheur. I thought the world of Phil, and here I was kind of taking his job. But he knew that he wasn’t going to get that job permanently because he didn’t have Washington office experience. Phil accepted the situation, and was really a deputy to me in a way. He handled all of the administrative and budgetary stuff that I didn’t like handling. I liked handling the policy stuff and the Section 7 consultations and so forth. Phil and I were a real team and I had an excellent working relationship with him. I also felt highly of the Regional Director, Kahler Martinson and his deputy, Bill Meyer.

MR. GROVER: Can you clarify the layout of the organization at this point?

MR. MARSHALL: The organization was that Endangered Species was a separate entity. It was under the Assistant Regional Director for Federal Aid, who was Ed Chamberlain. So an Assistant Director had Federal Aid and Endangered Species at that time. I’m glad you asked that because I know it’s different now. We built up a staff comprised of Phil Lehenbauer, a botanist, a recovery plan person, a section 7

person plus secretarial help. We had a good organization there with Endangered Species. We probably had more Section 7 consultations than all of the other regions combined. I know we did. We probably had as many listed species as all of the other regions combined and yet we couldn’t get much relief from the budget standpoint to do what needed to be done. Each RD was interested in getting his share of the pie when it came to dividing it up. There’s not a lot to say about that. There was no such thing as HCPs at that point. We were mostly involved with listings, recovery plans and Section 7 consultations.

MR. GROVER: Was the spotted owl listed by this time?

MR. MARSHALL: No, we looked at that again with the same dilemma. It became pretty evident by the time I left that we were going to have to list it, but then the Service turned it down. I retired in 1981 from the FWS.

MR. GROVER: What about the condor? They had been listed by that time.

MR. MARSHALL: Oh yes. It was brought in under the 1973 act.

MR. GROVER: And you were working on the recovery plan?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, and I did a lot with the condor in fact, way before the Endangered Species Act. I went down and looked at the condor situation because there was a proposed dam that would affect the Sespe Condor Sanctuary. That was way before the 1973 Act. When you really look back on it, we were involved with endangered species issues from the time of the National Bison Range early in the last century. People don’t realize that. The Red Rocks Lake Refuge for trumpeter swans too. J. Clark Salyer always used to say, “If it ever looks like there is a species in danger, that’s our first priority.”

MR. GROVER: This was before the Act defined what an endangered or threatened species was.

MR. MARSHALL: Yes! We realized our responsibility there. There’s not a lot to say about my last few years in the Regional Office. By that time, we had this complicated thing for evaluating performance ratings that really bothered me. Setting performance standards and performance ratings you’d sit down with the most dedicated person and they would want to put more things down to do for their year than they could possibly do. Then you had to turn around and rate them on how much they got done. I thought it was very unfair. I had to really pull some people back on what expectations for themselves were, or they would come out with a poor rating. That took so much paperwork that it really bothered me. I was 55, and I had well over thirty years of Federal service considering my time with the Forest Service, Park Service and military.

So that’s when I quit...and I could see the Reagan administration coming along so that’s the time I decided to bow out, on my birthday. It was March 7, 1981. That was the end of my FWS career. I was handicapped through a number of these years in that my wife turned into a mental case, and refused

treatment. It was a terrible personal situation. I thought that maybe things would be better if I was home with her, which they weren't. That was another factor.

MRS. GROVER: This was Betty?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes. It was really sad when you've got a loved one who just won't admit that there is anything wrong. It was really difficult. It was hard on me all of the time that I was in Washington because of that. And it was hard on my children. I didn't realize how hard it was on them.

MRS. GROVER: So your family accompanied you when you went to Washington?

MR. MARSHALL: Not the children, but she did. She actually loved it. She really did. But she had a period where she couldn't do anything but sit in the bedroom. She maintained there was nothing wrong with her. She has passed away now.

MRS. GROVER: Let's talk about your two children for a minute. You had a boy and a girl, born in Fallon, NV. What are they doing now?

MR. MARSHALL: The boy is a professional outdoor photographer. He is very successful in knowing what people want in outdoor pictures and what he can sell. He's also put out four coffee table books. One is lying over there. They are state books like the one that Ray Atkinson did for Oregon with the same publisher. He's done three Washington ones and an Idaho one. He married in later years to a lady who had three teenage sons. With my son, she had triplets that are two and a half years old. So I have my first grandchildren, who are triplets! They are a handful! They live in Wenatchee, Washington. He got interested in photography at Malheur when he was a little boy. And I got him a camera; a complicated one. He right away learned how to use it and started taking pictures. Of course there was a world of opportunity of things to photograph. He's quite a naturalist. He majored in Fisheries at OSU, but he ended up as a professional photographer. He got a master's at the University of Idaho.

My daughter is a businessperson. By the time she was twenty, she was co-owner of a bicycle shop in downtown Portland, right at SW 12th and Morrison Streets. Now, she is in the international banking business and lives just out of Hanover, New Hampshire. She does consulting work on international banking for big international banking firms where they want to review practices of various foreign banks, particularly in third world countries. She goes to those banks and sees what their practices are and how they have to change in order to be loaned money by the big banks. She also buys houses, fixes them up and sells them.

Raising children at Sacramento and Malheur Refuges was a mixed bag. This was when they were between 2 and 10 years old. I already mentioned the sub-standard housing, school problems and lack of other children for playmates; but there were positives. FWS was at that time very family oriented. I have reason to believe it came from the Gabrielson era. I was

free to take my family with me on field trips so long as it did not mean extra government expense. Son John liked to go out on Malheur Lake with me in the airboat, and daughter Janet especially liked to go with me when I conducted field trips for visitors, especially college classes. She got to be pretty good at bird identification, and as soon as a group in a car caravan recognized this she would be invited to ride in a car with some of the students. When Ray Glahn flew in for aerial waterfowl surveys, one or both of them would go along. It got to be such common place with them that when asked if they wanted to go on a flight, sometimes it would be yes and other times no. I can't imagine such occurrences now. Being at Malheur also allowed us to have a horse for the children.

MRS. GROVER: Don't you have a new wife now?

MR. MARSHALL: Oh yes. My wife, Georgia, is a former high school sweetheart. She and I went together in high school. After the death of my first wife, and the loss of Georgia's husband; we got married a year ago last August, 1999. I was very close to her parents. Her maiden name is Leupold. Leupold and Stevens Instruments in Beaverton is owned by her family. They are still the owners of it. The company is well known by hunters for the rifle scopes it manufactures.

I think I've pointed out that we had some top biologists in the FWS at the upper levels; Ira Gabrielson and J. Clark Salyer are two examples. But many of the people I was working under early in my career were not trained professional biologists. They were kind of jealous of people with college degrees. They were more interested in seeing facility upkeep on refuges. They were very interested in animal damage control. That was a big thing along with law enforcement and eventually hatcheries. But with the people I worked for in refuges, it was a lot more important how a station looked. . . your performance rating was based on whether you had the buildings painted and whether the lawn was cut and the garden hose was coiled up. That's how your performance was rated in Kenneth MacDonald's eyes. The cleanliness of the windshields on the trucks was important too; not how much you attracted in terms of wildlife. This was a frustration to me at an early time. Engineering, as I said, was very strong. The pitch was that if you got money to build more dikes and water control structures you could stabilize water levels. Non-professional people then were really the ones in charge. The last thing they wanted was people with Ph.D.s it seemed like, although Ray Erickson was an exception. They were afraid Ph.D.s wouldn't want to get out there and work at all. So graduate degrees were not encouraged at all.

I mentioned the problems in getting anything in the way of equipment that the biologists needed. All they thought we needed was a pickup, a notebook and some binoculars. On the plus side, I am so happy with the funding today, in the FWS. People don't realize how tough it was then. For example, the Refuge Manager in Sacramento, who preceded Vernon Ekedahl, wouldn't let the employees use the refuge shop. He wouldn't even let them pump up the air in their car tires because he said that was air pumped at government expense. They were extremely tight in Refuges with money in those days. To go on a trip, your per diem was looked at very closely. A lot of people

went on their own. The housing was horrible on Refuges. I see a lot that has changed to the good, including salaries.

I remember when I was at the Sacramento Refuge some Civil Service auditors came in. They went over my job and audited it. I think I was a GS-7 then. According to their audit, I should have been an "11", because I was working in a field without any immediate supervision. That was common. You'd have Managers that were in charge of small refuges who were GS 5s. We didn't really see a change in this until the 1960s. J. Clark Salyer, said one day, "Hold salaries down. That way we'll only get the most dedicated people!" That was his policy. In a way, he had a point. He did get dedicated people. They helped make up for low salaries some by charging very little for housing on refuges, but this later caught up with the FWS when GSA discovered housing was not being charged for at going rates.

MR. GROVER: What grade would a GS-5 then, be comparable to today in your mind? Do you think it would be a GS-11?

MR. MARSHALL: Sometimes, yes.

MR. GROVER: GS-11 is defined as the full professional level, in other words, with a Bachelor's degree.

MR. MARSHALL: That's what it was according to this audit. But I was a GS-7.

MR. GROVER: But you hadn't seen that yet?

MR. MARSHALL: I just learned that when the auditors showed up. Of course, FWS didn't do anything about it. Money was so tight. I've seen tremendous change there. Obviously, the downside of things I see going on today is all of the regulatory hoops. As much as I like NEPA and the principles behind it, I can't help but see the insurmountable amount of time involved with NEPA documents, public hearings, and so forth. I gave the example of how easy it was to acquire some of these refuges, like the Lewis and Clark Refuge, when you didn't have all of these roadblocks put in front of you.

I felt that morale was generally very high in the Refuge Division in those early days, despite the low salaries and poor housing conditions. We just had people who were really dedicated to what we were doing. There was little thought given to the forty hour week in the field. I mean, if you had to get up and run a waterfowl brood count at five in the morning, you did. There wasn't always time off, and certainly no compensation for the extra time. There was a lot of work to be done on weekends. On the other hand, John Scharff's philosophy was, 'well, if you want to go fishing in the middle of the day, go!' He was always running into a problem when the auditors would come down from Portland. He liked to tell the story about one of his maintenance men. He and the auditor went were out on the refuge around noon and found one of the maintenance men fishing. The auditor said, "He shouldn't be here, he's supposed to be working!" So John asked the man, "Joe what time did you get up this morning?" Joe answered, "Five o'clock." Then he asked him what he did at that hour, and Joe ran

off a bunch of details involving taking care of refuge horses and irrigating fields. The auditor got the point.

MR. GROVER: Earlier you said that you focused in on the USFWS. Why not the Park Service, the Forest Service or the state?

MR. MARSHALL: I had done work for the Forest Service in the Fremont National Forest. They had maybe one biologist during my last year. There just weren't the opportunities there in terms of jobs at that time. I didn't see in the Forest Service where I'd have much opportunity.

With respect to going into the Park Service's Naturalist Program, I had worked for Crater Lake NP one summer. I found that they were a terrible bureaucracy next to the Forest Service. They were very bureaucratic. I even had to sign my name to check a shovel out of the supply house! That was clear back in 1948. I didn't like the looks of that at all. And really, the FWS was doing the things that I was most interested in. With the state fish and wildlife agencies; when I went to work at Stillwater, I got acquainted with a number of state people in Nevada. I found out that the Director of Nevada Fish and Game was going to hire me, but the FWS offered me a position first. So that's how I ended up, but I could have gone with the State of Nevada at the time.

MRS. GROVER: Don't forget to tell about your musk ox story!

MR. GROVER: Lets change gears a bit. I would like to get some of your career story's and reminiscences. How about starting off with some memories? What about the cranes?

MR. MARSHALL: When I was in Washington, the President at the time was first Nixon and then Ford. During Ford's service, the Emperor of Japan paid a State visit to the U.S. Of course, I didn't get to see the Emperor but people in the National Aquarium did. They were very impressed with him because he was a Marine Biologist. He brought with him, as a State gift to the U. S., a bunch of exotic carp that are popular in Japan. They were going to be put at the National Aquarium. It was a big collection of all kinds of exotic carp. So this meant that President Ford had to give a gift in return. Knowing that cranes are revered in Japan, a decision was made by the Washington office to donate to the Emperor, a pair of sandhill cranes from North America.

Hal O'Connor was selected to do the honor of taking those cranes to Japan. For some reason, he couldn't go and I was picked at the last minute to take the cranes to Japan. I got them from Ray Erickson out at Patuxent. Ray gave me instructions. He said they'll be fine just as long as they don't get overheated. We had to get all of the government passports and other papers in just a matter of hours. Wayne Bohl was in the Washington office and did a lot of foreign activities. He knew how to go through Customs and get me all of the stuff I needed instantly. Otherwise, they said it would have taken several days. The next thing I knew I was at the airport with two sandhill cranes in crates. I got on a Northwest Airlines plane, and the cranes were in the hold. I made sure that the temperature was right. They kept assuring me that it was okay. We left Washington, D.C. The crew had been given instructions about why I was along and what an important mission

I was on. They were told, ‘Whatever you do, don’t foul up again!’ It turned out that the airline had transported the carp and about half of them died because they messed up by not giving them proper oxygen or something. Anyway, when we got to Chicago everything was fine. I checked on the cranes and went on to Anchorage. The airline people kept saying, “Well, I hope you make it okay.” I thought to myself that something was screwy. When we got to Anchorage, they announced that we couldn’t fly on to Tokyo. The aircraft didn’t have the dual radios for over-water travel. One of them was broken. They knew that in Washington, D. C. They knew it in Chicago. They were passing the buck to Anchorage to fix it. So my thoughts of Northwest went down right then. I thought, ‘what am I going to do? I’ve got these cranes here!’”

MR. GROVER: Rent a motel room!

MRS. GROVER: For two cranes!

MR. MARSHALL: The hotel rooms in Anchorage were nearly filled up. I got stuck in a room with somebody that I didn’t even know. I said, “What are we going to do with the cranes?!” The airline told me they could put them in the Pilot’s Lounge and give me the key. About two in the morning, I woke up and wondered what the temperature was in that Pilot’s Lounge. I neglected to check it. Ray told me, “Whatever you do, don’t let them get too warm”. So I got a taxi and went out to the Pilot’s Lounge and found the cranes were fine. They knew me by then, and everything looked good.

So twenty-four hours later we checked in on to the next flight in to Tokyo. The Japanese were rather put out that we were twenty-four hours late. But it was an interesting trip. Northwest put me in first class, even though my ticket wasn’t for that. The ground crew opened the door of the plane in Tokyo, and the guy says, “We want man with cranes first!” I heard him say that because I was in first class, right by the door. So they put me off on the tarmac and they were supposed to leave those cranes alone until I got there. But they had already moved them from the hold. This was kind of worrisome to me. What if those cranes were to die? But it turned out they were fine. And they put them in a police paddy wagon. They had a police escort for the cranes.

The Ambassador’s representative met me in an American made car and we went through the streets of Tokyo with this entourage of police motorcycles and the cranes. We took them to the Zoo. Then of course they said, “We know you must be tired, but we want to have a press conference”. The Japanese being what they are; the first thing they asked me was, “How old are you”? The second question was, “How many years have you worked for the FWS”? The State Department had given me a special title. It was really a high title. It wasn’t real! It was an interesting experience. The Public Affairs office in Washington made a real boo boo. They named the cranes “Martha” and “George.” This just didn’t go over with the Japanese at all!

It was kind of up to me as to how long I stayed because they provided a guide for me, an assistant from the Zoo. They were going to wine and dine me and take me sightseeing for just as long as I stayed there. It was up to the Ambassador to formerly donate

the cranes to the Emperor. He was going to do that after they were out of quarantine. It was a fun trip.

MR. GROVER: But the bottom line is; were they okay when you left Japan? Good old, tough Sand Hills.

MR. MARSHALL: They were okay. I never heard anything more about the cranes! I did my job!

MR. GROVER: Did you get to fly back first class?

MR. MARSHALL: I don’t remember. I think I did. It was Christmas time and I flew back to Portland. The family came out too.

MR. GROVER: Let’s talk about your photography. You were showing me one of your books, *Wild Sanctuaries*. There are a number of others like, *Birds in Our Lives* and what else?

MR. MARSHALL: And *Waterfowl Tomorrow*. The later two are both USFWS publications.

MR. GROVER: And these are pictures that you took when you were working for FWS?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes. Those books are around here. I continually had a call for photographs. I never got any money for them because the FWS manual said there were questions about whose time were taken on – my time versus Service time. Furthermore, they argued that the pictures were taken because of my knowledge that I had gained out of the FWS. So, I never got a dime for photographs.

MRS. GROVER: Tell us about the Musk Ox.

MR. MARSHALL: Okay, the Musk Ox in Alaska. Going back into history; in the 1930s the FWS imported some Musk Oxen from Greenland. They had become extirpated in Alaska. So they picked some up in Greenland. They were transported all the way to Fairbanks where they raised them on a kind of a farm. Well, the money ran out and the musk oxen were barged over to Nunivak Island. I asked Gabrielson about it years later and he said that they just didn’t know what else to do. They didn’t have the money to handle and take care of them so they dumped them off on that island which is out in the Bering Sea.

Those musk oxen grew to a herd of about six or seven hundred. Nunivak Island was not their natural habitat. Their natural habitat is a dry, desert like Arctic climate with very little snow. This island had heavy snowfall something like the Pacific Northwest Cascades. The only forage the musk oxen had during the winter was in a dune area where the snow would blow off and expose the grass. The oxen were over eating their range. There were too many of them for the amount of winter forage that was available. The FWS proposed a hunting season on them. All hell broke loose then about the idea that it would be just as easy as going out and shooting a cow; which is about right. Governor Wally Hickel was in on that too. He was totally against it because the public was against it.

We had a real problem of how to handle the musk oxen. What we wanted to do was to be able to move a bunch of them to the Arctic Slope and other areas where they were originally present in Alaska before extirpation. But moving animals of that weight would be tremendously costly. There was no money to accomplish this and it turned into a public relations issue. I think John Gottschalk and I know John Findlay were involved; they contacted the Director of the Canadian Wildlife Service, John Tenner, to advise us on the situation. John Tenner, it turned out, did his thesis on the musk ox. Even though he was the Director of the Canadian Wildlife Service he wanted to go on this trip himself. There was an entourage then of John Tenner, myself and the Refuge Staff. We toured Nunivak Island, which is about fifty by sixty miles with an Eskimo guide. We toured partly by boat. We looked at the range conditions. John Tenner said that he wanted to look at it in the winter. He also wanted to see the area that was proposed for them to be transplanted to. We went back in the winter, and went up to the Arctic Slope. We saw conditions there, which according to John Tenner, were very favorable for musk oxen. There were none there of course.

Then we went back to Nunivak Island and looked at it under winter conditions. We went all over the island using snowmobiles and camped out with an Eskimo guide. He was a tremendous guide. He was wonderful. They are great people, those Eskimos. They found out it was my birthday and they baked a cake for me! By then the issue had gotten big enough that the money did come forth to do a transplant. I don't remember if it was a special appropriation or if Hickel got it, but National Guard aircraft were used. They got the money to transplant a bunch of the musk oxen from Nunivak Island to the Arctic Slope up near Nome. It was a successful endeavor although it could have been done a lot easier without all of the PR expense. So that's the musk ox story.

After I retired from FWS, I wanted to work with my hands for about a year and rebuilt part of the house. But then, I started doing contract work. I was disturbed by the non-game program of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODF&W). It was aimless. Somebody could issue a proposal, get a positive response, and there were no objectives. I complained about this and said that I'd like to write up a plan. The proposal didn't go anywhere for awhile. Finally, I spoke to Phil Snyder about it. He had been one of the Commissioners for a long time. I told him about the problem as I saw it. The next thing I knew the ODFW Deputy Director called me in and told me that they wanted to go ahead with drawing up a non-game plan. This was months later. They said, "We want to prepare a set of goals and objectives and a strategic plan" for the non-game program of Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

I did this and it was presented as a paper at the North American National Wildlife Conference as the first of it's kind. Later, we updated it. I did status reports on potentially endangered or threatened species. I did these partly for the Audubon Society of Portland. One of them was on the Marbled Murrelet. Audubon used it as a basis for the petition to list the Marbled Murrelet as a threatened species, which did come about. I was pretty much responsible for ODFW's *Species at Risk* and all of their write-ups on endangered species. I also did some work for commercial firms; writing part of EISs on some projects like highway

widening and so forth. But the satisfaction really came from doing contract work for the Audubon Society of Portland and ODFW. I also taught an introductory course in wildlife management at Portland State University for two terms. It filled each time offered and at least two of my students became professionals in the field.

Subsequently, I had a major health problem, which is why I'm so short now; or shorter than I was. When I saw that I was going to be okay, I started to work on this, *Birds of Oregon: A General Reference*. I have been working on it for a couple of years now.

MR. GROVER: Did you intend that this book be a kind of follow up to what Gabrielson did back in 1940?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes. I kind of had it in the back of my mind, starting back in 1940. I thought to myself, "This is going to have to be redone some day". I would have liked to have done it much sooner, but there was never an opportunity where I could afford the time and money to do it. This is a project that I have wanted to do for a good part of my life.

MR. GROVER: The topic of Ira Gabrielson?

MR. MARSHALL: My contact with Ira Gabrielson started when I was a baby in arms according to my father. I don't remember him as a child. But as history shows, he was Regional Director here, for FWS or the Bureau of Biological Survey, I should say. At that time most of the BBS work was devoted to predatory animal and rodent control, refuges, and some research type activities. "Gabe" was RD and prior to that he was in charge of rodent control.

Stanley Jewett was in charge of predatory animal control. Their salaries weren't much in those days, and Gabe was very much interested in alpine plants. He put out a book, *Alpine Flowers*, and he also had a nursery out near Powell Butte, which I have a brochure for here somewhere. Here, he raised alpine plants for gardens as kind of a sideline to supplement his meager salary. He made his mark as being an excellent Regional Director. A lot of this is in his memoirs. Ding Darling was of course the Director appointed by Franklin Roosevelt. They brought Gabrielson back to the Washington Office on a detail, so they said. But the detail went on and on. Ding Darling called him in one day and instructed him to report to his office. Gabe said he got there and Ding Darling said, we're going to see Harold Ickes"! Gabe said, "I didn't know what it was about!" He said that they went in there and Ding Darling said that he wanted Gabe to be his successor. "He knows all about this stuff, I don't". Gabe said that he was speechless. He finally consented. Gabe told me how he regretted the way things are done now. He said that Ickes told him that he [Ickes] didn't know anything about the fish and wildlife business and that he wanted him to 'just take care of it'. He said that if he was to run into any problems to let him know, "but otherwise, it's yours"!

Gabe told me that there was no Assistant Secretary to deal with. If he had to deal with anybody, he just went straight to Harold Ickes. And he was free to deal with Congressmen. He said it was his to run, and he just couldn't get over the way it was when I was back there. Our Director had to talk to the Assistant Secretary's staff

and up through the Deputy Secretary and so forth. That's what he regretted most in terms of what has happened to FWS.

I really didn't know Gabe as a child because he got transferred out. He was active in the Audubon Society of Portland. I never heard or saw much of him at all until he came to Malheur on some kind of a visit. I told him who I was; Earl Marshall's son. He knew all about me, and he knew all about being friends with my Dad. Then I didn't run into him again until the GAO thing on the Willamette Valley refuges. So again, I met him. Then when I got accepted for the job in Washington, DC, he found out about it. And like I said, I don't know how he found out. That's when he made the phone call. But I remember one of my favorite things he said to me when I got there; he was talking about the Presidential election for Nixon. Maybe it's out of turn to tell this because he tried to be as non-partisan as possible. But he said, "It's a hell of a choice we had this time! We could vote for a crook, or a man that didn't have the executive ability to put together a wheel barrow crew!" He was speaking of Nixon and McGovern.

He told me one day, "I knew all of the recent Presidents. I knew Roosevelt real well. I knew Truman, and Eisenhower". He went on up the line to Nixon. He called him a 'crook' long before Watergate. He said, "There's only one of them that grew and that was Truman. All of the rest of them just swelled"! Those are two of the things I'll always remember that Gabe told me. He seemed to have a sense of what was going on all of the time. Watergate was just starting to surface in the papers. He told me, "You just wait! This is just the tip of the iceberg of what went on"! Sure enough! I don't know how he knew those things. He would go into D.C. to the Cosmos Club, which he was a member of.

He gave me a lot of good advice. And living right near him for a year and a half or so, I really got to know him. He didn't want me to knock on the door any more. It was too much trouble to get up. But just hearing him tell about things in the political world and how the Federal Aid Act got started and how they arrested Walter P. Chrysler of the Chrysler Corporation for a violation of the duck hunting rules was interesting. Of course Chrysler appealed to Roosevelt and Roosevelt backed Gabe up. He told me that this was the beginning of game laws really meaning something. They were no longer a joke. He sure kept up with things right up 'til the end.

MR. GROVER: Didn't you tell me that he didn't sleep much?

MR. MARSHALL: Oh yeah, that's another part of the story. I am glad you mentioned that, because he didn't sleep much. He was such a dynamic man and especially since he was heavy. He was so energetic. But he liked to write. In his tours around the country he only needed three or four hours of sleep a night, so he'd write stories in hotel rooms. He had stories in all kinds of sporting magazines that he wrote when he was Director, under a pen name. The pen name was Spear, his wife's maiden name. He wrote hunting and fishing stories for magazines like *Sports Afield* under this pen name. He also wrote a garden column for one of the depression era magazines. He was a great gardener. He wrote that under the pen name, "A. Amateur Farmer". He had quite a sense of humor and they were all humorous stories about what was happening in his garden and how he got bit by the bees or one

thing and another. The bees got up his pants leg. Another one of them was how a neighbor sold him some sting-less bees, and of course how he found out that they weren't sting-less. It was just humor all of the time. When he was here in Portland he wrote for the *Oregon Motorist*. One of the titles was "A Fat Man Climbs Mount Hood". He was a prolific writer, but so much of it wasn't under his real name. When he died, that stuff was in the house. His nephew or grandson told me that this stuff had disappeared. We don't know what happened to it. His wife kept a scrapbook of all of the things he wrote. These stories are not listed in his official bibliography because he didn't want it known that he wrote them.

MR. GROVER: But you have a copy of his diary?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, his memoirs, which were taken from his diary. He excerpted his diary to write these things. This is what it looks like. [Marshall shows Mr. Grover the book].

MR. GROVER: It's fairly thick and one of those legal sized....

MR. MARSHALL: Well, it's legal sized with reduced type. Two 8.5x11 pages typed double spaced are reproduced on legal sized paper as two columns. There is one of those on deposit at the Gabrielson Library at Patuxent. So I made them aware of that.

MR. GROVER: You have the only other one?

MR. MARSHALL: Well, I think maybe his family has another. The Wildlife Management Institute has one also, I suspect.

Milt Reeves and I wrote a piece on him that was in published in *The Auk*. I think I have a copy it around here somewhere. But that's about all I have to say on Gabrielson. There's no use duplicating what's in his memoirs. A lot of it is in there; except for a description of his personality; he had a great sense of humor and tremendous energy. Oh, there it is! *Shrubs, Bulbs, Alpines, and Rare Native Plants in Oregon Gardens*. This is the flyer from his business, from 1937.

MR. GROVER: That was his old sideline to supplement his meager Regional Director's pay.

MR. MARSHALL: Yes! I think Tom McAllister had the original of that. That's kind of a little interesting piece about him.

Ira Gabrielson told me one day that they wanted to have a chief of the Wildlife Refuge System and they had picked the "best damned aquatic biologist they could find"! It was J. Clark Salyer. Salyer was shaped something like a pear. He was huge. And he always ordered two meals at a restaurant; two helpings, not just one. But he was also diabetic and ignored it. And he had tremendous energy. He was a blonde, big guy, like I said. He didn't like to fly. So he would drive all over the country in his big black government Buick. He would drive at night and visit refuges and potential refuges during the day. They told me to do this too. I could tell about that. That was his routine. He thought nothing of testifying before Congress. He would completely bypass the Director. They'd call him to testify on something, or he'd arrange it so they'd call him. He was just a tremendous person in terms of promoting refuges.

He was extremely knowledgeable and to me his mind was just like a photograph. He wouldn't forget where he had been and could describe things in detail. He had this tremendous energy.

McDonald thought the world of him although Salyer didn't think that much of Mac. I know this because Mac was so fastidious. I have to tell a story about the personalities of Kenneth McDonald and Salyer. The Manager at the Charles M. Russell Wildlife Range in Montana was a very fastidious guy too. This could be a very dusty place. The Refuge Manager and Salyer were using McDonald's car. Salyer found out it was McDonald's car and he told the Refuge Manager, "That gives me an idea"! He hung sagebrush from it and got it as dirty as he could possibly get it. And the Refuge Manager kept saying, "I don't think this is a good idea, Mr. Salyer"! But it showed the personalities of the two. Of course they took Mac's car back all dirty and covered with dust. Salyer was always kind of knocking him down for that.

Salyer just had such tremendous knowledge about the refuges and a grasp of everything on them. He could remember the smallest of details. He embarrassed me one day back in Washington. He started asking me about whether or not the spike rush was still growing on a certain unit on the Sutter Refuge in California. I didn't remember whether it was still there or not. It was embarrassing, the things he would ask about; little details about something on a given refuge.

One of my last experiences in the field with him was when he wanted to look at Deer Island. It is on the lower Columbia here, near St. Helen's. He had always thought about it as a refuge. He was here for a meeting and he said, "I want to go look at Deer Island". They sent me and Howard Sergeant, the head of the Realty Division to go with him. We got to the road, it was a little narrow road, that goes into the island across a dike. There was a big sign, "No Trespassing-Private Property". We went in there anyway, being Salyer. We looked Deer Island over. And we ran into a man who I found out later was the owner. I subsequently got to know the owner's son. When we ran into this guy, of course he wanted to know what we were doing there. Salyer said, "We're looking at the dikes. We're from the Corps of Engineers and we're checking the dikes down here!" That was fine with the owner of course. Then Salyer said to the guy standing there, "Well boys, we'd better get back to Astoria."

We were no more going to Astoria than we were going to Berlin! But he could lie himself out of the pickles that he'd get himself into! He told me one day, "My personnel folder is full of reprimands and it will hold a whole lot more!" He was quite a character. It's too bad that he died at quite an early age from not taking care of his diabetes. He became blind. He'd go around the Interior Building with a map tube for a cane because he didn't like to admit he was blind. It was kind of sad. I'm not sure what happened, but one day, when I was back there on a detail, something had happened in the Director's office, which related to a political decision. Salyer came marching into the office I was in saying, "Isn't this a pissy ass outfit? It doesn't have the guts of a drunken cockroach!" I really enjoyed that man, and knowing him.

MR. GROVER: Whom else do you think we should be interviewing?

MR. MARSHALL: Well certainly Ray Erickson, who lives in Salem. And he's in his eighties. He needs to be interviewed.

MR. GROVER: You mentioned Kahler.

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, Kahler Martinson. Erickson's not in Portland Retiree's Directory. He left Region 1 in 1955. I didn't see his name in there.

MRS. GROVER: That's not a name I remember. You were talking about Vernon Ekedahl and Ray Glahn.

MR. GROVER: And there's Gene Kridler.

MR. MARSHALL: Yeah, Gene is on your list. Here's Ray Erickson's address and phone number. Ekedahl ended up as Supervisor of Refuges here following Mac Donald's retirement. He was one of those guys who had no education beyond high school. I worked under him on the Sacramento Refuge initially. He's in his nineties. His address is here. I correspond with him a little. I do have his son's email address too. I don't know if it would be worthwhile to talk to him. He's getting forgetful...he loves to talk about old times and he is so disapproving of anything in the FWS now, because they are not doing it the way they did it in 'the good old days'. 'They've got all of these people standing around with nothing to do!' He goes to Sacramento Refuge. He stops there and lectures the guys on all of the things they're doing wrong, and about how they are not getting any rice planted or doing any work. Every time I talk to Vernon, or he writes me a letter, that's what I hear. So I don't know. He worked in refuges in Montana in the late 1930's and 1940's in World War II. He has moved to be with his son in Colorado.

There is also Phil Lahenbauer.

MR. GROVER: Was he a Refuge type?

MR. MARSHALL: He was in Endangered Species and Refuges. Then there is friend, Dave Lenhart, who was in Pesticides.

MRS. GROVER: Yeah, Dave and Judy are pretty regular at the luncheon things.

MR. MARSHALL: Yeah, at the last ones I went to. You might consider Milt Reeves. He never worked in this region but he lives out here now out at Amity.

MR. GROVER: Is he growing grapes or something?

MR. MARSHALL: No, he is making furniture out of chestnut. Milt and his wife are very active in local political matters. He's a friend of Larry DeBates. He lives within a half a mile of Larry. I think he would be worth talking to. Bob Russell is up there at Sequim. I understand he is in poor health now. He went in to refuges just before I did.

MR. GROVER: I want to thank you Dave, for your time in doing this most interesting oral history for the archives of the Fish and Wildlife Service's Library. I will see that you get a transcribed version of this interview to review. Thank you.

Index of Major Fish & Wildlife Service Names Mentioned in this Oral History

Chattin , John 7,
Ekedah, Vernon 1, 6, 7, 20
Eno, Amos 13, 14
Finley ,William L. 1,
Gabrielson , Ira 2, 10, 11, 15, 17, 18, 19
Glahn , Ray 7, 10
Gottschalk , John 11
Horn, Tom 3
Jewett, Stanley G. 1, 2, 3, 18
Kridler , Gene 6, 12
MacDonald , Kenneth F (known as “Mac”), 3, 9, 20
Martinson , Kahler 14,
McAllister, Tom 2
Reed , Nat 13,
Salyer, J. Clark 3, 7, 10, 14, 15, 19
Scharff , John 7, 8, 9, 20
Schreiner , Keith 12, 13
Telfer , William, 2